EXHIBIT A

CAM

Designation List Report

="	Amundson, Curtis	2014-04-03
	Defense	00:27:01
	Plaintiff	00:04:50
	Response to Planitiff Counters	00:00:51
	TOTAL RUN TIME	00:32:41
	Documents linked to video:	
	73	
	83	
	84	
	88	
	89	
	91	



Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 496-1 Filed: 11/10/23 Page 3 of 22 PageID #:19488 CAM

			-	
DESIGNATION	SOURC	Е	DURATION	I D
8:03 - 8:05	Amund	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:08	CAM.1
	8:03	CURTIS MILES AMUNDSON, PhD,		
	8:04	called as a witness herein, having been first duly		
	8:05	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:		
9:06 - 9:09	Amunds	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:10	CAM.2
	9:06	Q. Are you currently employed, Mr. Amundson?		
	9:07	A. I am not. I'm retired.		
	9:08	Q. And when did you retire?		
	9:09	A. I retired on December 30, 2012.		
9:14 - 11:14	Amunds	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:02:37	CAM.3
	9:14	Q. Okay. And was your employer Kraft at the		
	9:15	time of your retirement?		
	9:16	A. Yes.		
	9:17	Q. What was your job title at Kraft?		
	9:18	A. I was Associate Director of Procurement.		
	9:19	Q. And what were your responsibilities in		
	9:20	that title?		
	9:21	A. Well, there were several different		
	9:22	responsibilities. First and foremost was the		
	9:23	management of the formula system, and that is t	he	
	9:24	least cost optimization program for Kraft meats,		
	9:25	Oscar Mayer plants.		
	10:01	Secondly, I dealt with some materials		
	10:02	coming in and out of the freezer correcting the		
	10:03	operations, and that was just optimal use of		
	10:04	raw materials. Thirdly, I had a scientific issues		
	10:05	role, and that included a number of issues, of		
	10:06	which animal welfare was one of them, from a		
	10:07	procurement standpoint.		
	10:08	Let's see, what else did I do? In a		
	10:09	nutshell that covers the three main areas.		
	10:10	Q. Okay. And when did you begin in that role		
	10:11	at Kraft?		
	10:12	A. I became the Director of Procurement in		
	10:13	1999.		
		Q. Okay.		
		A. And then as a job evaluation Kraftwide,		
	10:16	it became an assistant director post a year or		
	10:17	two later.		
	10:18	Q. Okay. And what's the highest level of		

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 496-1 Filed: 11/10/23 Page 4 of 22 PageID #:19489 CAM

					
DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	10:19		education that you achieved?		
	10:20	A.	I have a PhD in Meat Science from		
	10:21		Iowa State.		
	10:22	Q.	Okay. Were you involved I wonder if		
	10:23		you could tell me a little bit more about your		
	10:24		involvement in animal welfare issues at Kraft.		
	10:25		What specifically did you do with regard		
	11:01		to animal welfare at Kraft?		
	11:02	A.	I was, I was basically a scientific issues		
	11:03		liaison for the procurement group specifically.		
	11:04		I had an interest in animal welfare,		
	11:05		and so that started out by exploring issues, such		
	11:06		as cloning, that was, you know, topics that arose		
	11:07		that were important to the company that I might		
	11:08		have been able to contribute to or learn about.		
	11:09		And so that actually I consider it a		
	11:10		more scientific issues than animal welfare,		
	11:11		because it really started that way.		
	11:12		And then one of those issues that		
	11:13		arose was animal welfare as related to primarily		
	11:14		Oscar Mayer meat animals.		
14:14 - 14:18	Amund	dsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:17	CAM.4
	14:14	Q.	Okay. All right. When did you first		
	14:15		become involved in animal welfare issues at Kraft?		
	14:16		Do you remember the year?		
	14:17	A.	I don't know the exact year. It had to be		
	14:18		around the 2004ish time frame.		
16:25 - 17:16	Amuno	dsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:57	CAM.5
	16:25		Did Kraft have an animal welfare task		
	17:01		force?		
	17:02	A.	Kraft had a meat and poult the		
	17:03		Oscar Mayer division of Kraft had a meat and		
	17:04		poultry committee that put together an animal task	(
	17:05		force to look at those issues.		
	17:06	Q.	Okay. And did the Oscar Mayer division		
	17:07		have responsibility for egg procurement as		
	17:08		along with meat procurement?		
	17:09	Α.	It did for a short period of time. And		
	17:10		I don't know the exact dates, but there was a		

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 496-1 Filed: 11/10/23 Page 5 of 22 PageID #:19490 CAM

DESIGNATION	SOURC	E	DURATION	I D
	17:12	animal proteins purchased through the Oscar May	er	
	17:13	meat procurement, and that included eggs.		
	17:14	Q. Okay. And were you a member of that task		
	17:15	force that was under the Oscar Mayer umbrella?		
	17:16	A. Yes.		
17:17 - 17:20	Amunds	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:19	CAM.6
	17:17	Q. Okay. When was that task force formed,		
	17:18	do you recall?		
	17:19	A. There were two different there was an		
	17:20	earlier task force that looked into companywide.		
18:04 - 18:10	Amunds	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:32	CAM.7
	18:04	A. The first one looked at dairy, slaughter		
	18:05	animals, eggs, and didn't really go anywhere.		
	18:06	The second one, which was this one, which		
	18:07	was borne out of the meat and poultry issues team	١,	
	18:08	went mostly to slaughter, although you see some		
	18:09	eggs also there. But that was a later that was		
	18:10	the second shot at it.		
19:08 - 19:09	Amunds	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:08	CAM.8
	19:08	Q. Let's talk about that earlier group or		
	19:09	task force. Did it have a name that you recall?		
20:05 - 20:06	Amunds	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:02	CAM.9
	20:05	A. I don't recall the first one having a		
	20:06	name.		
20:07 - 20:10	Amunds	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:14	CAM.10
	20:07	Q. Okay. Do you know when it was formed?		
		A. It had to be 2003 or 4.		
	20:09	Q. Were you personally involved in it?		
	20:10	A. Yes, I was.		
20:21 - 21:02	Amunds	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:21	CAM.11
	20:21	Q. Do you know why that first initiative was		
	20:22	undertaken?		
	20:23	A. Well, I think all of the the birth of		
	20:24	all of the initiatives was the growing concern		
	20:25	about animal welfare becoming an issue and us no	ot	
	21:01	having a position on the issue that we could		
	21.01			
	21:02	communicate.		

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 496-1 Filed: 11/10/23 Page 6 of 22 PageID #:19491 CAM

			57 (1.1)		
DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
Ø 73.1	21:21	Q.	Mr. Amundson, I'm going to hand you what		CAM.12
	21:22		we've marked as Amundson 2. This is a document		
22:07 - 22:11	Amun	dsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:10	CAM.13
	22:07	Q.	Okay. And what is this?		
	22:08	A.	It sounds like the start of that 2004		
6 73.1.1	22:09		animal affairs task charter.		
	22:10	Q.	Okay. So this was the companywide one?		
	22:11	A.	Correct.		
22:13 - 22:21	Amun	dsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:16	CAM.14
	22:13		And do you see the sponsor listed there,		
	22:14		Marcy Glenn?		
	22:15	A.	Uh-huh.		
	22:16	Q.	And there's a description of the		
	22:17		"Sponsor's Role" below that. It says to		
	22:18		"Provide senior management support for the		
	22:19		exploration and potential development and		
	22:20		implementation of the KFT animal welfare policy."		
	22:21	A.	Uh-huh.		
23:23 - 24:12	Amun	dsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:42	CAM.15
	23:23	Q.	Okay. A little further down in the		
	23:24		document under "Rationale," it notes "The issue		
6 73.1.2	23:25		has been championed by NGOs."		
	24:01		Do you know which NGOs were championing		
	24:02		this issue?		
	24:03	A.	Well, the issue is animal welfare in		
	24:04		general. And the NGOs would be PETA, HSUS. Thos	e	
	24:05		are the key players.		
	24:06	Q.	Okay. And was Kraft paying attention to		
	24:07		PETA and HSUS in the 2004 time frame?		
	24:08	A.	Define paying attention.		
	24:09	Q.	Paying attention enough to note PETA and		
	24:10		HSUS efforts as a rationale for beginning this		
	24:11		task force.		
	24:12	A.	Yes.		
24:23 - 25:03	Amun	dsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:18	CAM.16
6 73.1.4	24:23	Q.	And do you know which KFT major suppliers		
	24:24		were being referred to here?		
	24:25	A.	I don't know. I could name a couple.		
	25:01		That would be the Tyson Foods and the folks that		

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 496-1 Filed: 11/10/23 Page 7 of 22 PageID #:19492 CAM

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	25:02 were slaughtering birds and slaughtering the red		
	25:03 meat animals.		
26:05 - 26:06	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:12	CAM.17
§ 1228.1.1	26:05 Q. I'm going to hand you what we'll mark as		
	26:06 Amundson 3. This is Bates labeled KRA00025493.		
26:10 - 26:16	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:13	CAM.18
	26:10 Q. Okay. Do you know what this document is?		
	26:11 A. Other than it looks like a continuation of		
	the prior one.		
	26:13 Q. It looks like so the prior one is		
Ø 1228.1.2	26:14 dated January 2004. This one is dated April 2005,		
	26:15 right?		
	26:16 A. Uh-huh.		
26:20 - 26:23	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:11	CAM.19
	26:20 Were there meetings and animal welfare		
	26:21 efforts going on between January 2004 and		
	26:22 April 2005?		
	26:23 A. This team had met. We I can't say for		
26:24 - 26:24	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:06	CAM.20
	26:24 sure what else went on at that time.		
27:19 - 27:20	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:04	CAM.21
6 84.1.1	27:19 Q. I'll hand you what we'll mark as		
	27:20 Amundson 4.		
27:24 - 28:16	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:01:02	CAM.22
	27:24 Q. Okay. And what is this document?		
	27:25 A. It looks like a continuation of the one		
	28:01 before, but you see the change with the MPPCT as	;	
	28:02 the sponsor or as the as the overall of this		
	28:03 group.		
	28:04 Q. What is the MPPCT?		
	28:05 A. Meat and Poultry Policy Core Team at		
	28:06 Oscar Mayer. This was specifically Oscar Mayer as		
	28:07 opposed to Kraftwide.		
	28:08 Q. Perfect. So this document is dated May 2,		
6 84.1.5	28:09 2005. It's really just a few days later than the		
	28:10 April 28, 2005, exhibit that we just looked at.		
	28:11 A. It looks like it would be an upgrade or a		
0000	28:12 redraft of the one before it.		
6 84.1.3	28:13 Q. And it looks like the goal has been		

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 496-1 Filed: 11/10/23 Page 8 of 22 PageID #:19493 CAM

DESIGNATION	SOURCE		DURATION	I D
	28:14	further developed a bit from this document as		
	28:15	compared to the last one, right? Is that right?		
	28:16	I'm sorry.		
28:17 - 28:25	Amundsoı	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:30	CAM.23
	28:17 A.	Yes, it looks like it has changed.		
	28:18 Q.	So whereas before the goal was to adopt		
	28:19	and follow generally accepted animal welfare		
	28:20	guidelines, here the document gets a bit more		
	28:21	specific noting that "Kraft business units		
	28:22	will ensure that Kraft and its suppliers and its		
	28:23	suppliers adopt and follow this policy."		
	28:24	So there's a bit more specificity here		
	28:25 A.	Correct.		
30:12 - 30:24	Amundsoı	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:40	CAM.24
6 84.1.4	30:12 Q.	Okay. And under "Tactics" here under this		
	30:13	exhibit, the first bullet says "Assess current		
	30:14	animal welfare guidelines and recommendations."		
	30:15	Who was responsible for doing that?		
	30:16 A.	That would have been the task force		
	30:17	itself. I would have been involved with that.		
	30:18 Q.	Okay. And what did the task force do to		
	30:19	go about assessing those guidelines?		
	30:20 A.	Well, it was primarily reading the		
	30:21	AMI guidelines and the NTF guidelines and the		
	30:22	National Chicken Council's guidelines, presumably	,	
	30:23	and it may have been me, but somebody read the		
	30:24	UEP guidelines. That was the assessment.		
32:10 - 32:19	Amundson	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:29	CAM.25
	32:10	And the UEP guidelines were reviewed as		
	32:11	part of this process; is that what you is that		
	32:12	right?		
	32:13 A.	I read them. My main interest was		
	32:14	slaughter guidelines and animal handling.		
	32:15 Q.	Okay. Do you recall finding anything in		
	32:16	the UEP guidelines that you found not reasonable?		
	32:17 A.	At the time I didn't know enough about		
	32:18	egg production to know if something was reasonab	le	
	32:19	or not.		
35:05 - 35:05	Amundaa	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:03	CAM.26

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 496-1 Filed: 11/10/23 Page 9 of 22 PageID #:19494 CAM

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
§ 89.1.1	35:05	Q.	I'll hand you what we'll mark as		CAM.26
35:06 - 35:06	Amun	dsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:05	CAM.27
	35:06		Amundson 6. This is KRA00049819.		
35:24 - 36:24	Amun	dsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:01:08	CAM.28
6 89.1.2	35:24	Q.	Okay. So this is the June 1, 2005,		
	35:25		meeting summary here, right?		
	36:01	A.	Uh-huh.		
	36:02	Q.	Let's go down to "Follow Ups." We see		
Ø 89.1.3	36:03		your name. Next to that we see "Catalog		
	36:04		guidelines developed by," and it lists several		
	36:05		organizations. And one of them is United Egg		
	36:06		Producers, right?		
	36:07	A.	Uh-huh.		
	36:08	Q.	Okay. That was your task coming out of		
	36:09		this meeting, was to catalog those guidelines?		
	36:10	A.	Correct.		
	36:11	Q.	Did you do so?		
	36:12	A.	Correct, yes. Basically get copies of the		
	36:13		guidelines.		
	36:14	Q.	Okay. Makes sense.		
	36:15		And another followup, if we turn to the		
	36:16		next page, by your name is "Inventory current		
6 89.2.1	36:17		suppliers for: animal welfare policies, training		
	36:18		and audits."		
	36:19	A.	Uh-huh.		
	36:20	_	Did you do that?		
	36:21	A.	I did that with the red meat suppliers		
	36:22		at that time, red and poultry slaughterers. I		
	36:23		probably contacted in fact, I'm sure I		
	36:24		contacted Rose Acre		
37:02 - 37:10	Amun	dsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:39	CAM.29
	37:02	Q.	And what did you do as part of this		
	37:03		inventory of suppliers, other than contact them?		
	37:04	A.	It depended. The inventory the		
	37:05		initial contact with the suppliers was do you		
	37:06		do animal welfare audits, well, do you follow		
	37:07		AMI guidelines, do you do animal welfare audits,		
	37:08		can you provide me with an example of those		
	37:09		audits? That would have been inventorying the		
	37:10		current suppliers.		

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 496-1 Filed: 11/10/23 Page 10 of 22 PageID #:19495 CAM

DESIGNATION	SOURC	E	DURATION	I D
37:19 - 37:21		son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:13	CAM.30
9 91.1.1		Q. I hand you what we'll mark as Amundson 7.		
		A. Thank you.		
	37:21	Q. This is KRA00014673.		
37:22 - 39:07	Amund	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:01:15	CAM.31
	37:22	A. Okay.		
	37:23	Q. Okay. Do you know what this document is?		
	37:24	A. Uh-huh.		
	37:25	Q. And what is this?		
	38:01	A. This is a summary of the next meeting of		
	38:02	an animal task force.		
	38:03	Q. This is another one of those summaries		
	38:04	that Kraft regularly prepared after it held		
	38:05	meetings, right?		
	38:06	A. Uh-huh.		
		Q. Okay. And this is dated August 24, 2005,		
9 91.1.2	38:08	right?		
		A. One correction on that one. Every meeting		
	38:10	wouldn't have had a summary, but many of them		
	38:11	would have.		
		Q. Many of them would have. That's		
	38:13	reasonable. Thank you.		
	38:14	Okay. Let's go down to where it says		
	38:15	"Animal Welfare Guidelines."		
		A. Uh-huh.		
6 91.1.3		Q. Do you see that it says "For the US,		
	38:18	KFT will use the species-specific animal welfare		
	38:19	guidelines developed by the industry trade		
	38:20	associations as the standard for domestic		
0	38:21	produce."		
9 91.1.4	38:22	If we skip down, it says "The specific		
	38:23	guidelines we will follow in the US are," skip to		
	38:24	the second bullet, for "Egg laying hens - United		
	38:25	Egg Producers." Right?		
		A. Uh-huh.		
		Q. So as of the last meeting in June, you		
	39:03	were tasked with reviewing guidelines.		
	39:04	And here at the end of August it looks		
	39:05	like the task force is recommending specific		
	39:06	industry guidelines?		

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	39:07	A.	Yes.	-	
39:23 - 40:20	Amun	dsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:01:16	CAM.32
	39:23	Q.	Okay. And was the recommendation to use		
☆ Clear	39:24		the United Egg Producers guidelines and other		
	39:25		industry guidelines as of August 2005, was that		
	40:01		Kraft policy or was that the recommendation of		
	40:02		this group?		
	40:03	A.	That's the recommendation of the group.		
	40:04	Q.	Okay. Why did the group recommend		
	40:05		following these industry guidelines rather than		
	40:06		Kraft developing its own?		
	40:07	A.	Kraft well, it's included in here,		
	40:08		the thinking behind that, but the primary thinking		
	40:09		was every company out there couldn't come up with	n	
	40:10		a separate set of requirements. It would be		
	40:11		unmanageable for the suppliers and also for		
	40:12		people like Kraft, because we didn't have the		
	40:13		experts that these industry trade groups had		
	40:14		devising these.		
	40:15		So the opinion was we wouldn't be as good,		
	40:16		we would put an excess burden on the suppliers,		
	40:17		and the industry updated theirs on a regular		
	40:18		basis. We'd get into that whole issue.		
	40:19		So the issue was upgrading requirements		
	40:20		while not putting a burden on the suppliers.		
45:02 - 45:03	Amun	dsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:09	CAM.33
9 7.1.2	45:02	Q.	I'll hand you what we'll mark as		
	45:03		Amundson 9. This is KRA18. It looks like the		
46:02 - 46:16	Amun	dsor	ı, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:48	CAM.34
	46:02	Q.	Okay. And your cover note here references		
9 7.1.3	46:03		an attached animal welfare proposal put together		
	46:04		by the team and offered by Chris Beard intended		
	46:05		for the 5/1 presentation to Warner, Paul and John,		
	46:06		right?		
	46:07	A.	Correct.		
	46:08	Q.	What was the purpose of the this		
	46:09		May 1st presentation?		
	46:10	A.	This would have been to get to this is		
	46:11		getting higher approval to proceed. John Ruff was		
	46:12		the head of R&D at the time. And so Werner was		

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 496-1 Filed: 11/10/23 Page 12 of 22 PageID #:19497 CAM

		CAM		
DESIGNATION	SOURCE		DURATION	I D
	46:13	obviously one of the leaders of procurement. Like		
	46:14	I say, I don't know what Paul was.		
	46:15 Q	. Higher approval to proceed with what?		
	46:16 A.	Implementing a policy.		
47:13 - 47:15	Amundso	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:11	CAM.35
	47:13 Q	. Okay. Let's look at the document,		
6 97.5.1	47:14	specifically at the page ending in 22, which		
	47:15	is page 4 of the document.		
47:16 - 47:16	Amundso	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:01	CAM.36
	47:16 A.	Oh, okay.		
47:17 - 47:25	Amundso	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:23	CAM.37
	47:17 Q	. Sir, it notes one, two, three, four		
	47:18	bullets down that "Some customers are asking		
9 7.5.2	47:19	Kraft to ensure that the meat products they		
	47:20	purchase come from animals which were treated		
	47:21	humanely." Do you know which customers those		
	47:22	were?		
	47:23 A.	I do not know which customers these were.		
	47:24	One example, however, would be Applebee's bring	ing	
	47:25	this up. So there's one.		
48:02 - 48:16	Amundso	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:48	CAM.38
	48:02	Did it make business sense to Kraft to		
	48:03	develop an animal welfare policy so that it had		
	48:04	responses to this kind of customer inquiry?		
	48:05 A.	Absolutely.		
	48:06 Q	. And the second bullet notes that		
9 7.5.3	48:07	"Animal welfare is a growing societal concern,		
	48:08	especially in the U.S. and the E.U."		
	48:09	Was that aside from particular		
	48:10	customers, was this general concern noted in the		
	48:11	document something that mattered to Kraft?		
		Uh-huh. Yes. I'm sorry.		
	_	. And why is that?		
		Well, we serve our customers, and our		
	48:15	customers' concerns need to be our concerns with	in	
	48:16	reason the ability to do business.		
48:18 - 48:19	Amundso	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:06	CAM.39
9 7.7.1	48:18	And if we turn to two pages later, page 6		
91.1.1				

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
48:20 - 49:16	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:01:06	CAM.40
	48:20 fourth bullets down reference NGOs. We've tall	ked	
	48:21 a little bit about them.		
	48:22 Were did the it mentions		
	48:23 specifically "NGOs are well funded and effective	е	
6 97.7.2	48:24 at communicating their animal welfare concern	is to	
	48:25 consumers."		
	49:01 Is that a statement you agree with?		
	49:02 A. Uh-huh.		
☆ Clear	49:03 Q. Does it matter for Kraft to does it		
	49:04 make business sense for Kraft to respond to the	9	
	49:05 concerns of these NGOs because of the power t	hese	
	49:06 NGOs have in communicating with consumers a	and	
	49:07 Kraft customers?		
	49:08 A. At this time I don't recall Kraft having		
	49:09 had a lot of pressure from the NGOs, but you co	ould	
	49:10 see it coming with what happened at McDonald	d's, as	
	49:11 mentioned here. And it was a matter of time ur	ntil	
	49:12 it passed to other areas.		
	49:13 Q. It made sense to get out ahead of the		
	49:14 issue?		
	49:15 A. I don't know that in 2006 we were ahead of		
	49:16 the issue really.		
49:18 - 49:20	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:09	CAM.41
	49:18 And let's look later in the document to		
9 7.22.2	the page ending in 0039, that's page 20 it's		
	49:20 actually the very last page of this document.		
49:21 - 51:03	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:01:51	CAM.42
	49:21 Do you see under "The potential benefits		
	49:22 to Kraft," let's look at the second bullet. Do		
9 7.22.3	49:23 you see where it says "When customers request	t that	
	49:24 Kraft meat certain animal welfare requirements	s, we	
	49:25 will be able to communicate our policy and pro	gram	
	50:01 which will be consistent for all of our customers	S.	
	50:02 This will allow us to avoid multiple and		
	50:03 potentially costly compliance programs."		
	50:04 What does it mean to say consistent		
	50:05 standards was a benefit to Kraft?		
	50:06 A. I obviously didn't write that line.		
	50:07 Q. Fair enough.		

~ .	
	ιпл

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
☆ Clear	50:08	A.	But if you you can't have one set of		
	50:09		standards for each different customer you serve,		
	50:10		because it's too difficult to manage. And not		
	50:11		to mention the fact that somewhere along the line		
	50:12		you may not be telling everyone the right thing if		
	50:13		you have too many people with too many different		
	50:14		ideas running around. So the idea was to have a		
	50:15		policy.		
	50:16		Now, obviously if we took the trade		
	50:17		recommendations, it's not going to make all of		
	50:18		the NGOs happy. For instance, with eggs the		
	50:19		big issue there was cage free everybody was after,		
	50:20		and we didn't go that far. We went to the		
	50:21		industry-accepted practice.		
	50:22	Q.	Uh-huh.		
	50:23	A.	So while we were developing a consistent		
	50:24		set of principles that the, by and large, the		
	50:25		industry was already following. But it gave us a		
	51:01		response that, again, would be consistent, maybe		
	51:02		not the best liked, but it's consistent to whoever		
	51:03		asked.		
51:09 - 51:10	Amun	dsor	1, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:11	CAM.43
9 8.1.1	51:09	Q.	So I hand you what we've marked as		
	51:10		Amundson 10. This is KRA00014791.		
51:16 - 52:06	Amun	dsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:47	CAM.44
	51:16	Q.	Do you know what this document is?		
	51:17	A.	Yeah. It's the I don't know if		
	51:18		this was a final draft, but it's certainly a		
	51:19		restatement primarily of what was the draft of the		
	51:20		deck.		
	51:21	Q.	And this was this doesn't have a date		
	51:22		on the face. I can represent that the electronic		
	51:23		date was 6/19/2006 on the document.		
9 8.1.2	51:24		Was this Kraft's animal welfare policy?		
	51:25		Does this represent the substance of Kraft's		
	52:01		animal welfare policy?		
	52:02	A.	Yes.		
	52:03	Q.	Do you know exactly when Kraft formally		
	52:04		adopted the policy?		
			I do not know when the I do not		

			CAIVI		
DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	52:06		know when they formally adopted the policy.		
52:07 - 52:10	Amun	dson	ı, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:11	CAM.45
Clear	52:07		Practically it would have been when contract		
	52:08		changes came into place. So it wouldn't have bee	n	
	52:09		tomorrow all of these things happened as they we	ere	
	52:10		renewed.		
52:11 - 55:11	Amun	dson	ı, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:03:22	CAM.46
	52:11	Q.	Okay. And the policy was implemented		
	52:12		through contracts with suppliers, right?		
	52:13	A.	Through contracts and POs, as mentioned in		
	52:14		here.		
	52:15	Q.	Do you know why Kraft chose to implement		
	52:16		its policy through contractors with suppliers		
	52:17		rather than recommending its suppliers follow		
	52:18		certain practices?		
	52:19	A.	Contracts are agreed-to terms that must be		
	52:20		met. I can tell anybody that I'd like them to do		
	52:21		something.		
	52:22	Q.	Okay. And in the second page there's		
	52:23		some discussion in the second and third page,		
	52:24		actually, there's some discussion of audits.		
	52:25		Uh-huh.		
	53:01	Q.	And it notes that "Suppliers will be		
9 8.2.1	53:02		required to conduct a third-party animal welfare		
	53:03		audit on an annual basis."		
	53:04		Why was auditing a part of the Kraft		
	53:05		policy?		
D	53:06	A.	Well, in the first of all, I need		
🖈 Clear	53:07		to talk about the slaughter, because I'm not sure		
	53:08		how the egg audits went. I know that there was		
	53:09	_	something in UEP about that.		
	53:10		Uh-huh.		
	53:11	A.	3		
	53:12		party assesses the effectiveness of the stun and		
	53:13		kill were one of the keys to having a positive		
	53:14		animal welfare effect.		
	53:15		I mean, the idea is to make sure that the		
	53:16		animal is insensible before it's killed, and that		
	53:17		it's completely dead before disassembly takes		
	53:18		place.		

CAM

DESIGNATION	SOUR	CE		DURATION	I D
	53:19		And the way the industry approached that		
	53:20		was to have third-party auditors go in at least		
	53:21		once a year and measure X number of animals. It		
	53:22		was a program started by Temple Grandin. And th	at	
	53:23		was widely, widely accepted by the rest of the		
	53:24		slaughter industry.		
	53:25	Q.	So was it important for Kraft not just		
	54:01		that its suppliers have the appearance of having		
	54:02		animal welfare policies, but that they actually		
	54:03		practice what they preach?		
	54:04	A.	The objective of and you can tell that		
	54:05		with our Newberry plant, that we did the same		
	54:06		thing to ourselves.		
	54:07	Q.	Uh-huh.		
	54:08	A.	The objective was to meet the industry		
	54:09		standards for animal welfare and handling. It's		
	54:10		not I mean, that was obvious that something		
	54:11		had to be done that was that had numerical		
	54:12		data that was actually measured, that was actuall	y	
	54:13		checked, otherwise what's the point?		
	54:14	Q.	Yeah. Okay.		
9 8.2.2	54:15		A little further down under "Rationale,"		
	54:16		the third sentence there notes that "Animal		
	54:17		welfare experts were involved in the formulation		
	54:18		of most of the industry guidelines." Is that		
	54:19		true?		
	54:20	A.	Uh-huh.		
	54:21	Q.	Is that true of UEP guidelines?		
	54:22	A.	I believe UEP had the committee that put		
	54:23		those that supposedly put those guidelines		
	54:24		together.		
	54:25	Q.	Are you referring to what's been called		
	55:01		the Scientific Advisory Committee?		
	55:02	A.	Yeah.		
	55:03	Q.	Did you review who the members were of		
	55:04		that Scientific Advisory Committee at that point?		
	55:05	A.	I read the names. It's included in the		
	55:06		UEP guidelines.		
	55:07	Q.	Okay. Was it your understanding when you		
	55:08		were working on these animal welfare issues that		
	55:09		that Scientific Advisory Committee had real input		

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 496-1 Filed: 11/10/23 Page 17 of 22 PageID #:19502 CAM

	CAM		
DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
	55:10 into the development of the UEP guidelines?		
	55:11 A. That was my assumption.		
55:18 - 55:20	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:05	CAM.47
	55:18 Q. Did you did anything ever undermine		
	55:19 that assumption?		
	55:20 A. No.		
60:22 - 60:24	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:13	CAM.48
	60:22 Q. I'm going to hand you what was marked		
6 88.1.1	60:23 Exhibit 13. This might be one of the ones you		
	60:24 were discussing. This is KRA00027999.		
60:25 - 61:05	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:11	CAM.49
	60:25 A. Okay.		
	61:01 Q. Do you recognize this document?		
	61:02 A. Uh-huh.		
	61:03 Q. What is this document?		
	61:04 A. It's a response to a to the phone call		
	61:05 that I made to Rose Acre.		
61:13 - 61:21	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:21	CAM.50
	61:13 Let's look at the bottom email here, which		
	61:14 looks like an email from you to Greg Hinton. Do		
6 88.1.2	61:15 you see that?		
	61:16 A. Uh-huh.		
	61:17 Q. And this is May 20, 2005, right?		
	61:18 A. Correct.		
	61:19 Q. And you note, you say "Greg, enjoyed		
	61:20 discussion poultry animal welfare with you and		
	61:21 KY today."		
61:22 - 62:04	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:22	CAM.51
	61:22 Is KY someone else at Rose Acre?		
	61:23 A. I don't remember.		
	61:24 Q. You don't remember. Okay.		
	61:25 Is Greg employed by Rose Acre?		
	62:01 A. That would be Greg Hinton.		
	62:02 Q. Greg Hinton.		
	62:03 A. I thought he was. It says "GoodEgg.com,"		
	though, so I'm not sure, up here.		
62:05 - 62:12	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:19	CAM.52
	62:05 Q. Okay. And your email goes on, "The		
Ø 88.1.4	62:06 address information for the latest audit is as		

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 496-1 Filed: 11/10/23 Page 18 of 22 PageID #:19503 CAM

		CAM		
DESIGNATION	SOURC	E	DURATION	I D
	62:07	follows," and it provides your address.	-	
	62:08	In that conversation had you asked		
	62:09	Rose Acre to send you their latest animal welfare		
	62:10	audit?		
	62:11	A. If they communicated that they had done		
	62:12	the audits, I asked them to send me an example.		
62:13 - 63:09	Amunds	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:46	CAM.53
	62:13	Q. Okay. And Greg replies here, if you look		
	62:14	at the email above, it says "We will be sending		
6 88.1.3	62:15	you the audit information today."		
	62:16	And he goes on "Will you be including the		
	62:17	UEP animal welfare program as a requirement in the	ne	
	62:18	upcoming egg bid?" Do you see that?		
	62:19	A. Uh-huh.		
	62:20	Q. And he goes on to ask whether "Kraft has		
	62:21	an interest in putting the animal care certified		
	62:22	logo on its labels." Do you see that?		
	62:23	A. Yes.		
	62:24	Q. Did you ever respond to those questions		
	62:25	from him?		
	63:01	A. I did not, because I'm not involved in		
	63:02	the egg bids or egg contracts.		
	63:03	Q. Okay. Do you know whether anyone else at		
	63:04	Kraft responded?		
	63:05	A. I don't know if anybody else responded.		
	63:06	Q. Okay. Do you know whether Kraft ever put		
	63:07	an animal care certified or UEP certified logo on		
	63:08	any products?		
	63:09	A. To the best of my knowledge, we never did.		
63:15 - 63:16	Amunds	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:10	CAM.54
6 83.1.1	63:15	Q. I'll hand you what we'll mark as		
	63:16	Exhibit 14, KRA00049855.		
63:17 - 63:18	Amunds	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:02	CAM.55
	63:17	MR. CAMPBELL: What number is this?		
	63:18	MS. ANSARI: Fourteen.		
63:20 - 63:20	Amunds	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:02	CAM.56
	63:20	Q. Okay. Do you know what this document is?		
63:21 - 63:24	Amunds	son, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:05	CAM.57
		A. Uh-huh.		

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 496-1 Filed: 11/10/23 Page 19 of 22 PageID #:19504

CAM

DESIGNATION	SOURO	CE		DURATION	I D
	63:22	Q.	What is this document?		
	63:23	A.	It's the response to the previous request		
	63:24		from to Rose Acre.		
63:25 - 64:02	Amund	lsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:04	CAM.58
	63:25	Q.	Okay. This is Rose Acre's response to		
	64:01		your previous request?		
	64:02	A.	Uh-huh.		
64:03 - 64:12	Amund	lsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:26	CAM.59
	64:03	Q.	Okay. Let's look to the bottom of this		
	64:04		first page here. The third line up, do you see		
	64:05		where it notes "You will see a time period for		
6 83.1.2	64:06		implementations of cage space allowances for		
	64:07		layers."		
	64:08	A.	Uh-huh.		
	64:09	Q.	"This part was staged to make sure that		
	64:10		we as an industry do not short the market,		
	64:11		therefore having less supply, which will cause		
	64:12		problems for our customers."		
64:13 - 64:24	Amund	lsor	n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:38	CAM.60
	64:13		Did Kraft have any position on the time		
	64:14		period for implementing the UEP's space allowance	2	
	64:15		for layers?		
	64:16	A.	Explain.		
	64:17	Q.	So he notes that with respect to the		
	64:18		UEP policy, there's a certain time period for		
	64:19		implementing cage space, and that the		
	64:20		implementation of the UEP cage space policy was		
	64:21		staged, meaning rather than done all at once.		
	64:22		And it was done that way to ensure that the		
	64:23 64:24	٨	industry didn't short the market. Uh-huh.		
64.05 .65.00				00.00.10	0.11.01
64:25 - 65:03			n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:10	CAM.61
	64:25	Q.	Did Kraft have a position on whether or		
	65:01		not it favored a staged approach as opposed to an		
	65:02	Λ	all-at-once approach or position?		
	65:03		No, not that I'm aware of.		
65:04 - 65:12			n, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:25	CAM.62
🔀 Clear		Q.	Did you or your work on the animal welfare		
	65:05		committee consider how the UEP animal welfare		

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 496-1 Filed: 11/10/23 Page 20 of 22 PageID #:19505

SOURCE DESIGNATION DURATION I D guidelines might have affected the supply of eggs, 65:06 65:07 if at all? A. No. 65:08 O. Your concern was more with the other 65:09 65:10 aspects of animal welfare? 65:11 A. I was much more in depth with slaughter 65:12 and the handling of animals for slaughter. 73:22 - 74:02 Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03 00:00:21 **CAM.63** 73:22 Q. Did anyone at Kraft involved in the 73:23 animal welfare task force discuss with you 73:24 whether it mattered to Kraft what FMI and NCCR 73:25 had to say about these guidelines? 74:01 A. I don't recall anybody mentioning that to 74:02 74:16 - 75:08 Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03 00:00:49 CAM.64 74:16 Q. Okay. And how would it have been 74:17 interesting to you that FMI and NCCR were 74:18 endorsing the UEP program? 74:19 A. Well, okay, FMI and NCCR were the, as 74:20 I recall, one of the first people to start putting 74:21 out third-party audits. 74:22 And so, you know, they took a run at it, and I don't remember what any of their guidelines 74:23 74:24 or know what any of their guidelines are, but it 74:25 would have been interesting because they were the 75:01 first to take a run at it. O. Uh-huh. So their --75:02 75:03 A. Third-party. 75:04 Q. -- opinion would have been interesting 75:05 because of their early involvement? 75:06 A. Well, especially if it came up with 75:07 something significantly different than what the 75:08 producer organization had indicated. 86:15 - 86:20 Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03 00:00:25 CAM.65 Clear Q. Would you say that animal welfare activity 86:15 86:16 was something that Kraft's customers, Kraft's 86:17 peers and NGOs focused on the issue were paying more attention to in these last several years? 86:18 A. I think you would be safe in saying that, 86:19 86:20 yes.

Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 496-1 Filed: 11/10/23 Page 21 of 22 PageID #:19506

CAM

DESIGNATION	SOURCE	DURATION	I D
95:22 - 96:12	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:40	CAM.66
6 83.1.3	95:22 Q. Can you the first sentence		
	95:23 Exhibit 14 is a letter from Rose Acre Farms		
	95:24 that they sent to you, correct?		
	95:25 A. Uh-huh. Yes, it is.		
	96:01 Q. And the first line in the second paragraph		
_	96:02 states that "Rose Acre has been a big part of this		
6 83.1.4	96:03 program since its inception in 2002," correct?		
	96:04 A. Correct.		
	96:05 Q. What did you understand this program to		
	96:06 be?		
	96:07 A. I understood the program to be the		
	96:08 UEP animal welfare program.		
	96:09 Q. Okay. Was it your understanding that		
	96:10 Rose Acre started implementing the UEP program		
	96:11 in 2002?		
	96:12 A. Based on what they said here, yes.		
97:02 - 97:08	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:19	CAM.68
☆ Clear	97:02 Q. In May 2005 had Kraft adopted an animal		
	97:03 welfare policy?		
	97:04 A. No.		
	97:05 Q. Okay. So based on this letter,		
	97:06 Exhibit 14, is it possible that Rose Acre		
	97:07 adopted the UEP guidelines at the request of		
	97:08 Kraft?		
97:10 - 97:10	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:02	CAM.69
	97:10 A. No, it would not be possible.		
97:12 - 97:15	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	00:00:11	CAM.70
	97:12 Q. Go ahead. And based on this letter,		
	97:13 Exhibit 14, do you believe that Rose Acre adopted		
	97:14 the UEP guidelines in reliance on Kraft's request		
	97:15 that Rose Acre adopt those guidelines?		
97:17 - 97:19	Amundson, Curtis 2014-04-03	80:00:00	CAM.71
	97:17 A. We did not no. They could not have		
	97:18 adopted them based on Kraft's recommendation in		
	97:19 2002 to my knowledge.		

Defense 00:27:01

~ ~	
(A	NЛ
-	IVI

TOTAL RUN TIME	00:32:41
Response to Planitiff Counters	00:00:51
Plaintiff	00:04:50

Documents linked to video:
73
83
84
88
89

97 98

91